| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 10/657,425  | SOUZA, TIMOTHY M.  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | Michael Koczo, Jr.                                      | 3746   |
| All Participants: Status of Application:   |   |  |
| (1) <u>Michael Koczo, Jr.</u>  | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Todd A. Rathe</u> .   | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 26 September 2007   | Time:   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | icant's representative)                                 |  |
| Part I.  |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112   |   |  |
| Claims discussed:  | •   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |   |  |
| Part II.   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN<br>See Examiner's Amendment. The language of claim 1 is clarifi  |   | WAS DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul> | The examiner will provide a erecord of the substance of | written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| ·  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   | •  |
|  | •   |  |
|  |   |  |
| mil-L.   |   | ·  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |   |  |